

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/587,049	06/02/2000	KAZUHIKO AMANO	P2292.D1	6603
20178 7	7590 03/12/2002			
EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT 150 RIVER OAKS PARKWAY, SUITE 225			EXAMINER	
			NASSER, ROBERT L	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95134		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/5

Application No. 09/587,049

Jidant(s)

Amano et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Robert Nasser

Art Unit **3736**



, <u> </u>		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
communication.	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	
0.00		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	in/ore pending in the application	
	is/are pending in the application.	
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-30</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign partial and all by Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority of the pr	ve been received. ve been received in Application No documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
. The second sec		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachment(s)	18) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Peper No(s).	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
16] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	201 Other:	
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	24/ 0	

Application/Control Number: 09/587049

Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I, drawn to a device for controlling physiological state by detecting arousal/sedation.

Species II, drawn to a device for controlling physiological state for detecting a doze state.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I, claims 1-25.

Species II, claims 26-30.

Application/Control Number: 09/587049

Art Unit: 3736

The following claim(s) are generic: none

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They detect different parameters to detect different conditions -arousal/sedation versus doze state. In addition, the arousal/sedation detecting device controls the patient's condition, while the doze state is intended for monitoring operation of a vehicle or other machinery.

Page 3

A telephone call was made to Mark Watson on March 6, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/587049

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0758.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [kevin.shaver@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

RLN March 10, 2002

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Drattsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.